

[First Hit](#) [Fwd Refs](#)[Previous Doc](#) [Next Doc](#)[Go to Doc#](#) [Generate Collection](#) [Print](#)

9/18/2000 279

L3: Entry 1 of 7

File: USPT

Nov 21, 2000

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6150921 A
TITLE: Article tracking system

electronic

Application Filing Date (1):
19971017

Detailed Description Text (78):

Alternative tag designs enable variation in transmission time based on environmental factors. For example, motion detectors may be placed in a tag to decrease the time between transmissions when the tag is in motion. As another example, a tag might transmit more frequently and with higher power when the tag has been tampered with. As another example, a tag might incorporate a slightly modified Electronic Article Surveillance (EAS) device, which would cause the tag to transmit its UID more frequently when in range of a standard EAS detector. More generally, if a tag is attached to another electronic device, transmission interval can be modified under the control of that device.

Detailed Description Text (81):

For some situations, battery replacement may be accomplished by incorporating the battery in an attachment mechanism. For example, re-usable tag electronics may be attached to a disposable patient bracelet, with the battery included in the bracelet. As another example, a battery may be incorporated into the clip of an ID bracelet. More generally, a battery may be incorporated into an inexpensive disposable portion of an active RFID tag, with the electronics in the other, more expensive, portion.

Current US Cross Reference Classification (1):
340/5.8

[Previous Doc](#) [Next Doc](#) [Go to Doc#](#)

[First Hit](#) [Fwd Refs](#)[Previous Doc](#) [Next Doc](#) [Go to Doc#](#)
 [Generate Collection](#) [Print](#)

L3: Entry 1 of 7

File: USPT

Nov 21, 2000

US-PAT-NO: 6150921

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6150921 A

TITLE: Article tracking system

DATE-ISSUED: November 21, 2000

INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY
Werb; Jay	Newton	MA		
Lanzl; Colin	Nashua	NH		
McKinney; Kenelm	Melrose	MA		

ASSIGNEE-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY	TYPE CODE
PinPoint Corporation	Billerica	MA			02

APPL-NO: 08/ 953755 [\[PALM\]](#)

DATE FILED: October 17, 1997

PARENT-CASE:

REFERENCES TO RELATED APPLICATIONS This application claims priority from a provisional application Ser. No. 60/028,658, filed Oct. 17, 1996, a provisional application Ser. No. 60/044,321, filed Apr. 24, 1997, and a provisional application Ser. No. 60/044,245, filed Apr. 24, 1997.

INT-CL: [07] [H04 Q 5/22](#)

US-CL-ISSUED: 340/10.1; 340/825.34, 340/825.49, 340/573.1, 340/573.4, 342/42, 342/44

US-CL-CURRENT: [340/10.1](#); [340/5.8](#), [340/573.1](#), [340/573.4](#), [340/825.49](#), [342/42](#), [342/44](#)

FIELD-OF-SEARCH: 340/825.54, 340/825.34, 340/825.49, 340/573.1, 340/573.4, 340/10.1, 342/42, 342/44

PRIOR-ART-DISCLOSED:

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

 [Search Selected](#) [Search ALL](#) [Clear](#)

PAT-NO	ISSUE-DATE	PATENTEE-NAME	US-CL
<input type="checkbox"/> 31375	February 1861	Dodsworth	
<input type="checkbox"/> 3098971	July 1963	Richardson	

<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3273146</u>	September 1966	Hurwitz, Jr.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3289114</u>	November 1966	Rowen
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3478344</u>	November 1969	Schwitzgebel et al.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3706094</u>	December 1972	Cole et al.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3707711</u>	December 1972	Cole et al.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3740742</u>	June 1973	Thompson et al.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3805264</u>	April 1974	Lester
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3914762</u>	October 1975	Klensch
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3944928</u>	March 1976	Augenblick et al.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3964024</u>	June 1976	Hutton et al.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3973200</u>	August 1976	Akerberg
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4019181</u>	April 1977	Olsson et al.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4075632</u>	February 1978	Baldwin et al.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4114151</u>	September 1978	Denne et al.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4314373</u>	February 1982	Sellers
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4347501</u>	August 1982	Akerberg
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4364043</u>	December 1982	Cole et al.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4399437</u>	August 1983	Falck et al.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4459474</u>	July 1984	Walton
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4471345</u>	September 1984	Barrett, Jr.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4481428</u>	November 1984	Charlot, Jr.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4494119</u>	January 1985	Wimbush
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4495496</u>	January 1985	Miller, III
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4549169</u>	October 1985	Moura et al.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4549264</u>	October 1985	Carroll et al.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4598275</u>	July 1986	Ross et al.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4605929</u>	August 1986	Skeie
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4613864</u>	September 1986	Hofgen
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4625207</u>	November 1986	Skeie
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4636950</u>	January 1987	Caswell et al.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4651156</u>	March 1987	Martinez
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4656463</u>	April 1987	Anders et al.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4658357</u>	April 1987	Carroll et al.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4691202</u>	September 1987	Denne
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4703327</u>	October 1987	Rossetti et al.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4724427</u>	February 1988	Carroll
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4725841</u>	February 1988	Nysen et al.
	<u>4746830</u>	May 1988	Holland

<input type="checkbox"/>		
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4757315</u>	July 1988
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4777478</u>	October 1988
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4786907</u>	November 1988
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4799062</u>	January 1989
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4814751</u>	March 1989
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4818855</u>	April 1989
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4818998</u>	April 1989
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4819267</u>	April 1989
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4862176</u>	August 1989
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4888473</u>	December 1989
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4888692</u>	December 1989
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4897661</u>	January 1990
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4918423</u>	April 1990
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4918425</u>	April 1990
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4918493</u>	April 1990
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4924211</u>	May 1990
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4952913</u>	August 1990
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5025492</u>	June 1991
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5053774</u>	October 1991
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5062151</u>	October 1991
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5073781</u>	December 1991
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5095240</u>	March 1992
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5099227</u>	March 1992
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5119104</u>	June 1992
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5126746</u>	June 1992
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5144313</u>	September 1992
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5163004</u>	November 1992
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5164985</u>	November 1992
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5194860</u>	March 1993
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5208756</u>	May 1993
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5216612</u>	June 1993
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5218344</u>	June 1993
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5221831</u>	June 1993
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5222099</u>	June 1993
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5224034</u>	June 1993
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5249120</u>	September 1993
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5252979</u>	October 1993
		Nysen
		342/44

<input type="checkbox"/>				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5262784</u>	November 1993	Drobnicki et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5276496</u>	January 1994	Heller et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5287112</u>	February 1994	Schuermann	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5289372</u>	February 1994	Guthrie et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5294931</u>	March 1994	Meier	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5311185</u>	May 1994	Hochstein et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5311438</u>	May 1994	Sellers et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5311562</u>	May 1994	Palusamy et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5317309</u>	May 1994	Vercellotti et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5321605</u>	June 1994	Chapman et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5331545</u>	July 1994	Yajima et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5343387</u>	August 1994	Honma et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5355137</u>	October 1994	Schurmann	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5359250</u>	October 1994	Toda	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5359322</u>	October 1994	Murray	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5365516</u>	November 1994	Jandrell	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5369570</u>	November 1994	Parad	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5382784</u>	January 1995	Eberhardt	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5424746</u>	June 1995	Schwab et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5426284</u>	June 1995	Doyle	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5428547</u>	June 1995	Ikeda	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5430889</u>	July 1995	Hulbert et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5440301</u>	August 1995	Evans	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5448221</u>	September 1995	Weller	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5450492</u>	September 1995	Hook et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5455409</u>	October 1995	Smith et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5455851</u>	October 1995	Chaco et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5467268</u>	November 1995	Sisley et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5469170</u>	November 1995	Mariani	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5471404</u>	November 1995	Mazer	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5477225</u>	December 1995	Young et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5499071</u>	March 1996	Wakabayashi et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5504936</u>	April 1996	Lee	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5506584</u>	April 1996	Boles	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5506864</u>	April 1996	Schilling	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5517194</u>	May 1996	Carroll et al.	340/825.54
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5521602</u>	May 1996	Carroll et al.	

<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5526357</u>	June 1996	Jandrell	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5528232</u>	June 1996	Verma et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5539394</u>	July 1996	Cato et al.	340/825.34
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5539775</u>	July 1996	Tuttle et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5550547</u>	August 1996	Chan et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5552772</u>	September 1996	Janky et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5552790</u>	September 1996	Gunnarsson	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5565858</u>	October 1996	Guthrie	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5581486</u>	December 1996	Terada et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5586057</u>	December 1996	Patel	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5592180</u>	January 1997	Yokey et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5596507</u>	January 1997	Jones et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5602538</u>	February 1997	Orthmann et al.	340/825.54
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5608621</u>	March 1997	Caveney et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5617342</u>	April 1997	Elazouni	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5621411</u>	April 1997	Hagl et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5621412</u>	April 1997	Sharpe et al.	340/825.54
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5623413</u>	April 1997	Matheson et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5630070</u>	May 1997	Dietrich et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5640151</u>	June 1997	Reis et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5663956</u>	September 1997	Schilling	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5678186</u>	October 1997	Lee	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5710566</u>	January 1998	Grabow et al.	340/825.54
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5714932</u>	February 1998	Castellon et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5722059</u>	February 1998	Campana, Jr.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5726630</u>	March 1998	Marsh et al.	340/825.54
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5731785</u>	March 1998	Lemelson et al.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5995017</u>	November 1999	Marsh et al.	340/825.34

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

FOREIGN-PAT-NO	PUBN-DATE	COUNTRY	US-CL
0 389 325 A1	March 1989	EP	
0 467 036 A2	June 1990	EP	
2 234 140	January 1991	GB	
2 246 891	February 1992	GB	
WO 92/17947	October 1992	WO	
WO 96/18913	June 1996	WO	

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Takeshi Manabe, IEEE Transactions on Antenna and Propagation 40(5):500-509 (1992).
Ollivier, RFID-A Practical Solution for Problems You Didn't Even Know You Had!,
Texas Instruments Ltd., The Institution of Electrical Engineering, London, UK,
(1996).

Williamson et al., IEEE, pp. 186-201 (1993).

Plessky et al., IEEE pp. 117-120 (1995).

Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms, An American National Standard, Fourth Edition pp. 663-1040 (1988).

Hurst, "Quiktrak: A Unique New AVL System", Proceedings of the Vehicle Navigation and Information Systems Conference, Toronto, pp. A60-A62 (1989).

Internet reference address <http://www.tagmaster.se/products/index.htm>, TagMaster Mark Tag.TM. S1255.

ART-UNIT: 275

PRIMARY-EXAMINER: Horabik; Michael

ASSISTANT-EXAMINER: Dalencourt; Yves

ATTY-AGENT-FIRM: Hutchins, Wheeler & Dittmar

ABSTRACT:

System for tracking mobile tags. Cell controllers with multiple antenna modules generate a carrier signal which is received by the tags. Tags shift the frequency of the carrier signal, modulate an identification code onto it, and transmit the resulting tag signal at randomized intervals. The antennas receive and process the response, and determine the presence of the tags by proximity and triangulation. Distance of a tag from an antenna is calculated by measuring the round trip signal time. The cell controllers send data from the antenna to a host computer. The host computer collects the data and resolves them into positional estimates. Data are archived in a data warehouse, such as an SQL Server.

38 Claims, 23 Drawing figures

[Previous Doc](#) [Next Doc](#) [Go to Doc#](#)

Y +

Refine Search

Search Results -

Terms	Documents
L5 and (authentic\$ or verif\$)	3

Database:

US Pre-Grant Publication Full-Text Database
US Patents Full-Text Database
 US OCR Full-Text Database
 EPO Abstracts Database
 JPO Abstracts Database
 Derwent World Patents Index
 IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletins

Search:

Refine Search**Recall Text****Clear****Interrupt**

Search History

DATE: Wednesday, December 01, 2004 [Printable Copy](#) [Create Case](#)

<u>Set</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Query</u>	<u>Hit</u>	<u>Set</u>
			Count	Name
side by side				result set
DB=USPT; THES=ASSIGNEE; PLUR=YES; OP=OR				
<u>L7</u>	L5	and (authentic\$ or verif\$)	3	<u>L7</u>
<u>L6</u>	L5	and (authentic\$ and verif\$)	3	<u>L6</u>
<u>L5</u>	L4	and l2	7	<u>L5</u>
<u>L4</u>		((electronic\$ with tag) same (product or goods or item)) and @ad<=19981029	243	<u>L4</u>
<u>L3</u>	L2	and l1	4	<u>L3</u>
<u>L2</u>		705/26;340/5.8.ccls.	1314	<u>L2</u>
<u>L1</u>		((electronic\$ near2 tag) same (product or goods or item)) and @ad<=19981029	109	<u>L1</u>

END OF SEARCH HISTORY

Refine Search

Search Results -

Terms	Documents
L4 and L2	7

Database:

US Pre-Grant Publication Full-Text Database
 US Patents Full-Text Database
 US OCR Full-Text Database
 EPO Abstracts Database
 JPO Abstracts Database
 Derwent World Patents Index
 IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletins

Search:

Search History

DATE: Wednesday, December 01, 2004 [Printable Copy](#) [Create Case](#)

<u>Set</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Query</u>	<u>Hit</u>	<u>Set</u>
side by side			Count	Name
<i>result set</i>				
<i>DB=USPT; THES=ASSIGNEE; PLUR=YES; OP=OR</i>				
<u>L5</u>	L4 and l2		7	<u>L5</u>
<u>L4</u>	((electronic\$ with tag) same (product or goods or item)) and @ad<=19981029		243	<u>L4</u>
<u>L3</u>	L2 and l1		4	<u>L3</u>
<u>L2</u>	705/26;340/5.8.ccls.		1314	<u>L2</u>
<u>L1</u>	((electronic\$ near2 tag) same (product or goods or item)) and @ad<=19981029		109	<u>L1</u>

END OF SEARCH HISTORY

Hit List

Clear	Generate Collection	Print	Fwd Refs	Bkwd Refs
Generate OACS				

Search Results - Record(s) 1 through 7 of 7 returned.

1. Document ID: US 6535108 B1

L5: Entry 1 of 7

File: USPT

Mar 18, 2003

US-PAT-NO: 6535108

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6535108 B1

TITLE: Modulation of the resonant frequency of a circuit using an energy field

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Abstract	Claims	KWIC	Draw. D.
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	----------	--------	------	----------

2. Document ID: US 6167568 A

L5: Entry 2 of 7

File: USPT

Dec 26, 2000

US-PAT-NO: 6167568

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6167568 A

TITLE: Method and apparatus for implementing electronic software distribution

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Abstract	Claims	KWIC	Draw. D.
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	----------	--------	------	----------

3. Document ID: US 6144301 A

L5: Entry 3 of 7

File: USPT

Nov 7, 2000

US-PAT-NO: 6144301

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6144301 A

TITLE: Electronic tracking tag

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Abstract	Claims	KWIC	Draw. D.
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	----------	--------	------	----------

4. Document ID: US 5982891 A

L5: Entry 4 of 7

File: USPT

Nov 9, 1999

US-PAT-NO: 5982891

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 5982891 A

TITLE: Systems and methods for secure transaction management and electronic rights

protection

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Search](#) | [Advanced Search](#) | [Claims](#) | [KOMC](#) | [Drawn D.](#)

5. Document ID: US 5915019 A

L5: Entry 5 of 7

File: USPT

Jun 22, 1999

US-PAT-NO: 5915019

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 5915019 A

TITLE: Systems and methods for secure transaction management and electronic rights protection

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Search](#) | [Advanced Search](#) | [Claims](#) | [KOMC](#) | [Drawn D.](#)

6. Document ID: US 5873069 A

L5: Entry 6 of 7

File: USPT

Feb 16, 1999

US-PAT-NO: 5873069

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 5873069 A

TITLE: System and method for automatic updating and display of retail prices

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Search](#) | [Advanced Search](#) | [Claims](#) | [KOMC](#) | [Drawn D.](#)

7. Document ID: US 5757521 A

L5: Entry 7 of 7

File: USPT

May 26, 1998

US-PAT-NO: 5757521

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 5757521 A

TITLE: Pattern metallized optical varying security devices

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Search](#) | [Advanced Search](#) | [Claims](#) | [KOMC](#) | [Drawn D.](#)

[Clear](#) | [Generate Collection](#) | [Print](#) | [Fwd Refs](#) | [Bkwd Refs](#) | [Generate OACS](#)

Terms	Documents
L4 and L2	7

Display Format: [TI](#) | [Change Format](#)

First Hit Fwd Refs

N/X

Previous DocNext DocGo to Doc#**End of Result Set** **Generate Collection**

L6: Entry 3 of 3

File: USPT

May 26, 1998

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 5757521 A

TITLE: Pattern metallized optical varying security devices

Application Filing Date (1):19951121Brief Summary Text (2):

The present invention generally relates to authenticating devices, and more particularly relates to holograms used as security and anti-counterfeiting components of personal identification cards, credit cards, product labels, documents, currency and the like.

Brief Summary Text (4):

Holograms are commonly used as authenticating devices since the hologram, providing a three-dimensional image, is difficult to copy or reproduce. Holograms are records of an interference pattern formed by light at a recording location. Typically a photographic plate, placed at the recording location, is used to make and preserve an original holographic record. Commonly, the recorded interference pattern is that of a three dimensional image of a subject.

Brief Summary Text (12):

Various credit and identification cards, as well as some product labels, employ commercial holograms or diffraction gratings to deter counterfeiting by providing a visual indication of authenticity. Some product labels and currency depend on fine plastic threads with metallic print to increase the difficulty of counterfeiting, also by providing a visual indication of authenticity. Both holograms and fine metallic print are used together in these and other applications, because the visual indication of authenticity is both difficult to reproduce by conventional means and easily verified by direct observation. For further security, the hologram or metal printing security device may be secured to the document such that the security device will be destroyed or otherwise exhibit evidence of tampering upon any attempt to remove the security device. This will be discussed in further detail below.

Brief Summary Text (25):

Finally, current security devices generally require visual inspection, possibly with the aid of special equipment to verify authenticity. Quick, automatic security scanners currently require additional features to be incorporated into identification cards, product labels, and the like. Such features may include bar codes, microwave resonant structures, and various other electrically or optically detectable features which may be remotely sensed. Adding these features to identification cards, product labels and the like complicates the production of the objects or documents to which the security devices have been attached.

Brief Summary Text (30):

A method of authenticating an article forms a third aspect of the invention. The method may comprise the steps of: adhering to the article a surface relief hologram; disposing a metal pattern between the surface relief hologram and the

article; illuminating the metal pattern with electromagnetic energy swept over a predetermined frequency range and at a predetermined rate; and analyzing re-radiated electromagnetic energy to authenticate the article. The method of this aspect of the invention may be modified in that the step of analyzing may further comprise the step of comparing a spectrum of the re-radiated electromagnetic energy to an expected spectral signature of the metal pattern.

Brief Summary Text (31):

A method of authenticating an article according to a fourth aspect of the invention may comprise the steps of: adhering to the article a surface relief hologram; disposing a metal pattern between the surface relief hologram and the article; measuring a plurality of reflection coefficients of the metal pattern at a corresponding plurality of frequencies; and comparing each reflection coefficient with an expected reflection coefficient at a corresponding frequency.

Brief Summary Text (32):

In accordance with a fifth aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of authenticating an article, which may comprise the steps of: adhering to the article a device including a surface relief hologram; disposing a metal pattern between the surface relief hologram and the article; measuring capacitance of the metal pattern; and comparing the capacitance measured with an expected capacitance. Also in accordance with this aspect of the invention, authentication may be deactivated by performing the steps of: forming the metal pattern of a plurality of areas connected by a fusible link; and generating an electric current between the plurality of areas, thereby causing the fusible link to break. Further in accordance with this aspect of the invention, the step of generating may further comprise any one of the steps of: (a) bringing an electric charge into proximity with the plurality of areas, (b) applying an electric field to the plurality of areas, and (c) applying a time-varying magnetic field to the plurality of areas.

Detailed Description Text (12):

As shown in the example of FIG. 7B, the device of FIG. 7A may be enhanced by forming a high frequency, electromagnetically resonant structure 717 in the metallization layer. A series-resonant inductor 719 and capacitor 721a and 721b (LC) circuit, as shown, may operate in the 8-10 MHz range. The particular, precise frequency of resonance could serve as a code indicative of authenticity. In the illustrated structure, when the overlaminates are folded and applied to a document to be secured, the plates 721a and 721b act as a capacitor, with the secured document between them forming the dielectric. A coil 719 completes the resonant circuit. As in FIG. 7A, each identification card receives one or more full or random portions of the repeating pattern 711. The surface relief hologram of the overlaminates is enhanced by a continuous grid screen, as described above in connection with FIGS. 6A and 6B. Again, both the hologram and the underlying printed and pictorial information on the identification card are observable. Areas of the overlaminates which cover underlying identification information may be clear or may be metallized with a continuous grid screen to form a semi-transparent hologram. Areas of the overlaminates which do not cover any underlying identification information, for example those portions of the overlaminates corresponding to the back of the identification card, may be made opaque with a more complete metallization, metallized text or metallized graphics.

Detailed Description Text (13):

The structure of FIG. 7C is again similar to that of FIGS. 7A and 7B. However, microwave frequency resonant structures 723, which operate in the GHz frequency ranges are formed in the metallization, rather than the high frequency LC resonant circuit 717. The microwave frequency resonant structures 723 are simply metallized areas of shapes computed to exhibit desired resonances. In this type of structure, the particular combination of resonant frequencies serves as a code indicative of authenticity.

Detailed Description Text (25):

Employing elements from those aspects of the invention and methods discussed above, a new and useful security device may be made as shown in exploded view in FIG. 10. Authentication by both overt and covert means is achieved by this new device.

Detailed Description Text (27):

The metallic pattern 1001 shown amplifies the surface relief hologram 1005, as discussed above with respect to other embodiments of the invention. Also as discussed above, the metallic pattern 1001 may be of the continuous grid type, which is conductive, yet not opaque. A layer of about 200 .ANG. of Al with a protective layer of about 25 .ANG. of AlO_{sub}2 is preferred. The thickness of the metallic pattern layer and the protection layer may be varied by one of ordinary skill in this art to achieve different levels of transparency, oxidation protection and other parameters as required. The item to which the device may be applied may thus be viewed through the surface relief hologram 1005 on which the metallic pattern 1001 has been applied. However, the illustrated device is difficult for a counterfeiter to reproduce, because the precise registration between the surface relief hologram 1005 and the metallic pattern 1001 is difficult to reproduce. The amplified surface relief hologram (1005 combined with 1001) provides an overt indication of authenticity, in that it is optically detectable, readily verifiable by human observation, and yet difficult to reproduce. The overt indicia of authenticity could also include metallization micro-printing 1002, which may be readily detected with modest magnification, but which is exceedingly difficult to reproduce. The characters of micro-printing 1002 may be 0.025" high, for example.

Detailed Description Text (28):

In addition to the overt indication of authenticity provided by the device, there may be provided covert indications of authenticity. For example, the metallic pattern 1001 may form one or more resonators or antennae, which when illuminated by electromagnetic energy swept through a predetermined frequency range at a predetermined rate of frequency change, radiated by a transceiver 1007, re-radiates that electromagnetic energy resonating at one or more predetermined frequencies. The re-radiated energy may be detected by a receiver section of the transceiver 1007, in which the received spectrum is compared with the pattern produced by a valid device. The receiver could perform a spectrum analysis over a frequency range of interest. The spectral signature of a valid device is then compared with the spectrum of the received energy. A decision regarding the authenticity of the device may then be made based on the comparison.

Detailed Description Text (29):

Devices may be produced which provide similar or indistinguishable overt indicia of authenticity, but which encode different covert indicia, by varying the millimeter resonators included in metallic pattern 1001. Furthermore, additional, transparent conductors may be connected to the metallic pattern 1001, altering the electrical characteristics of the device, but visually non-detectable. Since a wide variety of codes could thus be produced, the device could be used, for example, to identify goods intended for different market channels, to identify goods manufactured at different plants, or to identify goods manufactured or shipped at different times, etc. Those skilled in the art would be able to identify numerous types of useful information which could be encoded in the spectral characteristics of the metallic pattern 1001.

Detailed Description Text (30):

A second electromagnetic characteristic of a patterned metallic holographic label which may be used to electronically authenticate a patterned metallized holographic tag or label is the metallic pattern's inherent impedance. Impedance is taken to refer to a complex impedance having both a magnitude and a phase. A complex quantity is required to describe a structure's impedance because the structure may include both resistance and reactance. A structure's impedance, of course, is a function of frequency. Furthermore, it is well known that network analyzers

determine a structure's impedance as a function of frequency by measuring the structure's reflection coefficient as a function of frequency. Like impedance, the reflection coefficient of a structure is taken to be a complex quantity represented by a magnitude and a phase because the structure may have both resistance and reactance. Knowing the reflection coefficient at a given frequency, impedance at the given frequency is readily calculated. Measured at several frequencies, a structure's reflection coefficients characterize and parameterize a structure including conductive, magnetic and dielectric components to such a degree that the reflection coefficients can be used to authenticate a patterned metallized holographic security tag or label with a reasonable degree of certainty. As the reflection coefficient is 1) directly related to the tag's impedance at the measurement frequency, and 2) a function of both the metallic pattern and component substrates, it represents a measurable, identifiable, authenticating electromagnetic signature.

Detailed Description Text (31):

A hand held device 1007, having the capability to generate and radiate up to four frequencies, for example, which functions similarly to a network analyzer can measure and store the four reflection coefficients of an authentication label 1015. The hand-held, miniature, network analyzer 1007 emits four low-level microwave signals which are reflected by the patterned metallized security tag or label 1015, the reflected signals are compared to reference signals to produce the tag's reflection coefficients. These four reflection coefficients characterize the authentication tag 1015 and can be compared a valid tag's reflection coefficients in order to make an authentication decision. In order to function effectively the authentication device 1007 should be positively positioned with respect to the authentication label 1015. Direct contact would clearly positively position the authentication device and is one option. Repeatable results are ensured by providing a repeatable sensor-tag-sensor path length.

Detailed Description Text (32):

The impedance of the authentication device 1007 may be measured by other means. For example, an instrument such as the MDA-1000 microwave dielectric analyzer (KDC Technology Corporation; Acton, Mass.) could be readily adapted by one skilled in this art to determine the impedance of authentication device 1007. The MDA-1000 uses an open reflection microwave resonator driven by a low-level microwave signal which is stepped through a narrow band of frequencies covering the resonator's resonant frequency. Resonant frequency and return loss of the signal affected by the material under test are measured. Impedance may then be calculated therefrom. It will be understood by those skilled in this art that other measurement techniques are adaptable to the task of measuring the impedance of the authentication device 1007.

Detailed Description Text (33):

A third authentication mechanism based on the electrical characteristics of a tag or label, measures the capacitance of a patterned metallized, holographic security tag with a custom designed, hand-held, bridge capacitance meter. FIG. 12 illustrates a simple probe 1201 which includes a plurality of capacitive plates 1204 of opposite polarities. The probe 1201 contacts the authentication tag 1202 in a predetermined position and the tag's capacitance is measured. The tag's capacitance is a function of 1) the thickness and dielectric constant of the polymeric film 1203 between the probe and the metallized pattern, and 2) the metallic area (FIG. 13, 1301) in closest proximity to the probe plates 1204. A connected metallized area 1302 shown in FIG. 13 is a contiguous metallic area which when projected onto the contacted surface of tag 1202, links at least part of the area contacted by two probe plates 1204 of opposite polarity. Furthermore, only that area 1301 of a connected area in immediate proximity to probe plate contributes significantly to the measured capacitance. Similar metallic patterns can have different capacitive signatures due to subtle metallic connections between a metallic areas making one a contiguous connected area and the other merely part

of a metallic camouflage. As subtle connections can make a contiguous metallized area a connected area and thus contribute to the measured capacitance, thin strips linking metallized areas under probe-plates can also function as fuses 1303. For example, when a fuse 1303 linking two larger areas, one underneath the one probe-plate and the second under the other probe-plate, is intact, the connected area contributes to the measured capacitance. When the fuse link is broken, the metallized area is no longer a connected area and hence does not contribute appreciably to the measured capacitance. Simply by applying a large charge to the probe-plates of the same probe 1201 which measures the capacitance, or otherwise bringing an electric field sufficient to induce a fusing current into proximity with the device, the fuse link 1303 can be deliberately broken, changing the measured capacitance. Likewise, in this embodiment or the previously described embodiment involving re-radiation of electromagnetic energy, a fuse link may be broken by applying a time-varying magnetic field of sufficient strength to induce a fusing current. This allows authentication labels to be deactivated by the same device which authenticates the tag.

Detailed Description Text (35):

There are two further measures, which would significantly increase the difficulty of counterfeiting a capacitor tag. One is to choose for this buried, hidden layer a conductive substance, such as zinc, which readily oxidizes when exposed to the atmosphere. A second is to use a transparent conductive material. Each of the readily oxidizable conductive substance or the transparent conductive substance could be used in combination with a more conventional metallic conductive material or with each other. A counterfeiter, in order to replicate a valid tag, must first examine a valid tag to determine its structure. During this examination the transparent conductive material may not be detected or the readily oxidizable conductive material may be exposed to atmosphere thus preventing the conductive layer's constituent material, location and or size being completely determined. A counterfeit tag made without precise and complete information concerning the buried conductive layer would, if measured, not indicate the same capacitance as a valid tag and consequently would not be authenticated.

Detailed Description Text (36):

Patterned metallized holographic security tags or labels which appear the same to the unaided eye but which have different underlying metallic patterns, each having a unique electromagnetic signature which can be detected and decoded, provide the basis of a product security system which provides two desirable ingredients: 1) a visual authentication component, i.e., the pattern metallized hologram; and 2) a covert authentication means. The intended country of retail or other information could be encoded in the ~~electromagnetic~~ signature of a tag, aiding deterrence of grey marketing or providing other useful product tracking features. The device which authenticates the holographic security tag could detect such encoded information about the product's place of manufacture or intended market.

Current US Cross Reference Classification (5):
340/5.8

CLAIMS:

9. A method of authenticating an article, comprising the steps of:

adhering to the article a surface relief hologram;

disposing a metal pattern on the surface relief hologram so as to amplify visibility of the surface relief hologram to an observer;

illuminating the metal pattern with electromagnetic energy swept over a predetermined frequency range and at a predetermined rate; and

analyzing re-radiated electromagnetic energy to authenticate the article.

11. A method of authenticating an article, comprising the steps of:

adhering to the article a surface relief hologram;

disposing a metal pattern on the surface relief hologram so as to amplify visibility of the surface relief hologram to an observer;

measuring a frequency-dependent parameter of the article; and

comparing the measured frequency-dependent parameter of the article to an expected frequency-dependent parameter of an authentic article.

14. A method of authenticating an article, comprising the steps of:

adhering to the article a device including a surface relief hologram;

disposing a metal pattern on the surface relief hologram so as to amplify visibility of the surface relief hologram to an observer, the metal pattern positional between the surface relief hologram and the article;

measuring capacitance of the metal pattern; and

comparing the capacitance measured with an expected capacitance.

15. The method of claim 14, further including deactivating authentication, further comprising the steps of:

forming the metal pattern of a plurality of areas connected by a fusible link; and

generating an electric current between the plurality of areas, thereby causing the fusible link to break.

[Previous Doc](#)

[Next Doc](#)

[Go to Doc#](#)